

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,519	08/07/2003	Masaru Saruwatari	03500.011982.1	8443	
••••	7590 06/21/2007 CCELLA HARPER & SCI	EXA	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			POO	POON, KING Y	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	· · · · ·		06/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/635,519	SARUWATARI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	King Y. Poon	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (1, cause the application to become ABANDON)	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u>	pril 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 20,21 and 23-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 20,21 and 23-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S tion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No. <u>08/813,288</u> . ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summa	ov (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/9/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 20, 21, 23, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumano et al (US 5,706,210) in view of Hayashi et al (US 5,485,246).

Regarding claims 20, 25: Kumano teaches a data communication apparatus (1, fig. 1) comprising: a connector, (that part of 8 that is connected to 14, fig. 2) arranged to connect to a monitoring device (3, fig. 1); a receiver (the data receiving part of 14, fig. 2), arranged to receive a command (fig. 3A) from the monitoring device through said connector, an analysis unit (the device or program that used to analyze the header, column 4, lines 43-60), arranged to analyze the command received by said receiver; a

Art Unit: 2625

transmitter (the data transmitting part of 14, fig. 2), arranged to transmit to the monitoring device a response (fig. 3B) in accordance with an analysis result of said analysis unit; and a controller (status management unit, column 3, lines 45-40), arranged to add information unrelated to the command (31, 32, 33, 34, fig. 3B, the information that are related to the command, are the header, column 4, lines 30-33) analyzed by said analysis unit to the response transmitted to the monitoring device, and to cause said transmitter to transmit the response with the added information concurrently, wherein in response to the command not being a command requesting a status of said data communication apparatus (the poll command is not requesting the status, the process of acquiring updated information is the acquiring status, column 5, lines 5-10) said controller adds the information, which is related to a status of the data communication apparatus (column 4, lines 30-42), to a response (fig. 3B) corresponding to the command to prompt the monitoring device to issue an additional command for discriminating the status (column 5, lines 5-10, fig. 4); and wherein in response to the command being a command requesting a status of said requesting a status of said data communication apparatus (the command for updating the updated information, column 5, lines 5-10), said controller does not add the information to the response (31, 32, 33, 34 are abridge information, which is much smaller than the status data and is only added in the first response to the poll command, and not added in the updated information/status response, column 4, lines 1-6).

Kumanto does not teach to use a host computer for communicating/monitoring command and response to a data communication apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,519

Art Unit: 2625

Hayashi, in the same area of monitoring status, teaches to use a host computer for sending command and receiving response, and used as a status monitoring device (fig. 60, column 29, lines 15-30, column 28, lines 35-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kumanto to include: the data communication device communicating with a host computer monitoring device.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kumanto by the teaching of Hayashi because: a host computer is widely available and would have allowed users to easily practice Kumanto's invention; and (b) it would have increase the usage of Kumanto to allow the system of Kumanto to use a host computer as the monitoring device.

Regarding claim 26: Please see discussion of claim 20. Hayashi teaches the communication apparatus (CCU connected to image forming apparatus, fig. 58); the data communication apparatus is controlled by a storage medium storing a computer readable program (column 14, lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kumanto to include: the data communication device controlled by a storage medium storing a computer readable program.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kumanto by the teaching of Hayashi because:

a) a program would make the system highly adaptable to all situation; b) it would have allowed the system to be mass produced to reduce cost.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,519

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 21: Kumanto teaches wherein the added information is information indicating that a change in a status of said data communication apparatus has occurred (difference, column 4, lines 60-65).

Regarding claim 23: Kumano teaches a data communication apparatus according to Claim 20, further comprising a storage unit (12, fig. 2), arranged to store status information indicating a status of said data communication apparatus, wherein said transmitter transmits the status information stored in said storage unit when said receiver receives a command requesting the status of said data communication apparatus (column 4, lines 65-68, updated status information).

4. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumano et al (US 5,706,210) in view of Hayashi et al (US 5,485,246) as applied to claim 20, 23 above, and further in view of Sato (US 5,644,405).

Regarding claim 24: Kumano does not teaches the data communication apparatus further comprising a reader, a printer, and a facsimile communication unit, wherein said storage unit stores information indicating a status of said reader, said printer, and said facsimile communication unit.

Hayashi teaches to monitoring the status of image forming apparatus (facsimile machine inherently is an image forming apparatus) (also see column 28,lines 30-35, Hayashi); Sato teaches to monitor the status of a facsimile machine which include the status of the reader, the printer and the communication unit (fig. 1, column 9,lines 4-20, fig. 4, column 7,lines 65-67, column 8, lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 2625

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kumano to include: the data communication apparatus further comprising a reader, a printer, and a facsimile communication unit, wherein said storage unit stores information indicating a status of said reader, said printer, and said facsimile communication unit.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kumano because it would have allowed the status monitoring technique of Kumano to solve the status monitoring problem of Hayashi and Sato such that the system of Hayashi and Sato would benefit by reducing traffic on the network to prevent system crashes and increase the speed of communication.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's amendment has overcome the 112 second paragraph rejection. However, upon further review, the amended claimed limitations are still meet by the prior art of record.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to King Y. Poon whose telephone number is 571-272-7440. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,519 Page 7

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 14, 2007

KING Y. POON PRIMARY EXAMINER